City of Brisbane Agenda Report

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Community Development Director and City Attorney via City Manager

SUBJECT: Ordinance 568-Development Agreement- Sierra Point Office Project -

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

DATE: Meeting of March 5, 2012

In addition to the information contained in the previous agenda packets regarding the above-referenced matter, the following is provided for the City Council's information:

Implications of Adopting Ordinance 568

In the event Ordinance 568 is not adopted and the development agreement is not executed, the project approvals are scheduled to expire in April 2014 and the project would comply with City's Green Building Ordinance in effect at the time building permits are obtained. The City's current green building standard is LEED Silver. It is unknown if State law will further extend the life of the tentative map approval associated with the project, but the state has a history of extending subdivision approvals in slow economic times.

Adoption of Ordinance 568 will allow for the execution of the referenced development agreement. Execution of the Development Agreement will require the subject Sierra Point Office Project to be constructed to the LEED Gold standards in effect at the time building permits are obtained. In exchange for this consideration the project approval would be extended to April 3, 2022. This date is determined as follows: If Ordinance 568 is adopted by the City Council on March 5, 2012, the Ordinance would become effective 30 days thereafter, or April 4, 2012. Section 2.2 of the Development Agreement provides for a term of 10 years from the Effective Date, which would be April 3, 2022.

Implications of LEED Gold Compliance

The applicant has provided the attached LEED Checklist which indicates how they might achieve LEED Gold compliance and the projected cost.

Implications of the Development Agreement on Future Green Building Ordinance Compliance

The Development Agreement requires the applicant to comply with the LEED Gold Standards in effect at the time building permits are obtained for the project. In the event the City has adopted

citywide Green Building Ordinance that is more stringent than LEED Gold, the project would need to comply with those requirements. If the City adopts Green Building standards not based upon LEED (such as Tiers of CALGREEN), the project would need to comply with the City adopted standards as well as LEED Gold.

Attachment

Applicant-Prepared LEED Checklist

John Swiecki, Community Development Director

Projected

LEED for Core and Shell Development

June 21, 2011

Project Checklist Opus Center Sierra Point 8-story, 10-story, Parking Structure Assoc, Cost Sustainable Sites Possible Points: 19 (Project) N d/C Y Construction Activity Pollution Prevention 1 Site Selection 5 5 Credit 2 Development Density and Community Connectivity 1 Credit 3 Brownfield Redevelopment Credit 4.1 Alternative Transportation—Public Transportation Access 6 2 Credit 4.2 Alternative Transportation—Bicycle Storage and Changing Rooms 3 Credit 4.3 Alternative Transportation-Low-Emitting and Fuel-Efficient Vehicles 3 2 Credit 4.4 Alternative Transportation—Parking Capacity 1 Credit 5.1 Site Development—Protect or Restore Habitat Credit 5.2 Site Development-Maximize Open Space 1 Credit 6.1 Stormwater Design-Quantity Control 1 Credit 6.2 Stormwater Design—Quality Control \$50,000 1 Credit 7.1 Heat Island Effect-Non-roof Credit 7.2 Heat Island Effect-Roof \$15,000 1 1 Credit 8 Light Pollution Reduction Tenant Design and Construction Guidelines 1 Credit 9 6 0 4 Water Efficiency Possible Points: 10 Υ Prerea 1 Water Use Reduction-20% Reduction 2 Credit 1 Water Efficient Landscaping 2 2 to 4 Reduce by 50% 2 No Potable Water Use or Irrigation Credit 2 Innovative Wastewater Technologies 2 Credit 3 Water Use Reduction 2 to 4 Reduce by 30% 2 Reduce by 35% Reduce by 40% Possible Points: 19 12 6 **Energy and Atmosphere** 37 Υ Fundamental Commissioning of Building Energy Systems Prereg 1 Y Minimum Energy Performance Prerea 2 Υ Prereg 3 Fundamental Refrigerant Management 10 9 2 Credit 1 Optimize Energy Performance 3 to 21 \$400,000 x Improve by 12% for New Buildings or 8% for Existing Building Renovations x Improve by 14% for New Buildings or 10% for Existing Building Renovations x Improve by 16% for New Buildings or 12% for Existing Building Renovations x Improve by 18% for New Buildings or 14% for Existing Building Renovations x Improve by 20% for New Buildings or 16% for Existing Building Renovations x Improve by 22% for New Buildings or 18% for Existing Building Renovations 8 x Improve by 24% for New Buildings or 20% for Existing Building Renovations 9 x Improve by 26% for New Buildings or 22% for Existing Building Renovations 10

			Improve by 28% for New Buildings or 24% for Existing Building Renovations	11	
			Improve by 30% for New Buildings or 26% for Existing Building Renovations	12	
			Improve by 30% for New Buildings or 28% for Existing Building Renovations	13	
			Improve by 34% for New Buildings or 30% for Existing Building Renovations	14	
			Improve by 36% for New Buildings or 32% for Existing Building Renovations	15	
			Improve by 38% for New Buildings or 34% for Existing Building Renovations	16	
			Improve by 40% for New Buildings or 36% for Existing Building Renovations	17	
			Improve by 42% for New Buildings or 38% for Existing Building Renovations	18	
			Improve by 44% for New Buildings or 40% for Existing Building Renovations	19	
			Improve by 46% for New Buildings or 42% for Existing Building Renovations	20	
<u> </u>			Improve by 48%+ for New Buildings or 44%+ for Existing Building Renovations	21	
4	d ·	Credit 2	On-Site Renewable Energy	4	
2 2 4	C	Credit 3	Enhanced Commissioning	2	\$75,000
2	d	Credit 4	Enhanced Refrigerant Management	2	\$200,000
3	d	Credit 5.1	Measurement and Verification—Base Building	3	\$10,000
	d	Credit 5.2	Measurement and Verification—Tenant Submetering	3	
2		Credit 6	Green Power	2	\$75,000
2 6 5 Y N ?	20 20 20 20	Materi	ials and Resources Possible Poi	ints: 13	
Y	ď	Prereq 1	Storage and Collection of Recyclables		
5	C	Credit 1	Building Reuse-Maintain Existing Walls, Floors, and Roof	1 to 5	
			Reuse 25%	1	
			Reuse 33%	2	
			Reuse 42%	3	
			Reuse 50%	4	
			Reuse 75%	5	
AC 6 11	c ·	Credit 2	Construction Waste Management	1 to 2	
<u> </u>			1 50% Recycled or Salvaged	1	
			75% Recycled or Salvaged	2	
	C .	Credit 3	Materials Reuse	. 1	
		Credit 4	Recycled Content	1 to 2	
		Ciedit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 10 2	
			x 10% of Content	1	
TOWNS NOW A SECTION			20% of Content	2	
2	C	Credit 5	Regional Materials	1 to 2	
			10% of Materials	1	
Immunitarium maniferium maniferiu			20% of Materials	2	
1	C	Credit 6	Certified Wood	1	
10 2 0 Y N ?	:	Indoor	Environmental Quality Possible Poi	nts: 12	
Y	d	Prereq 1	Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance		
		Prereg 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control		
		Credit 1	Outdoor Air Delivery Monitoring	1	\$40,000
		Credit 2	Increased Ventilation	1	÷ .5,000
				1	
		Credit 3	Construction Indoor Air Quality Management Plan—During Construction	1	
 			Low-Emitting Materials—Adhesives and Sealants	٠ ا	
			Low-Emitting Materials—Paints and Coatings	1	
			Low-Emitting Materials—Flooring Systems	1	
	C	Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials—Composite Wood and Agrifiber Products	1	
15/10 E 15/34 -	đ	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control	1	\$5,000

1 1 4	Credit 6 Co	ontrollability of Systems—The	rmal Comfort		1
1 6	Credit 7	nermal Comfort—Design			1
	Credit 8.1 Da	aylight and Views—Daylight			1
11 424 447 4	Credit 8.2 Da	aylight and Views—Views			1
6 0 0	Innovati	on and Design Process		Possible Points:	6
Y N ?		*			
1 a/c	Credit 1.1 ln	novation in Design: Specific T	itle		1
1 / arc	Credit 1.2 ln	novation in Design: Specific T	fitle		1
1 3 4/c	Credit 1.3 In	novation in Design: Specific T	itle		1
1 d/K	Credit 1.4 In	เกองation in Design: Specific ไ	itle		1
1 arc	Credit 1.5 ln	novation in Design: Specific T	itle		1
1 a/c	Credit 2 LE	EED Accredited Professional		•	1
<u> </u>					
4 0 0	Regional	l Priority Credits		Possible Points:	4
Y N ?					
1 1 4 1 are	Credit 1.1 Re	egional Priority: Specific Cred	lit		1
1 a/c	Credit 1.2 Re	egional Priority: Specific Cred	lit		1
1 3 a/c	Credit 1.3 Re	egional Priority: Specific Cred	lit		1
.1	Credit 1.4 Re	egional Priority: Specific Cred	it		1
66 27 17	Total			Possible Points:	110
	Cert	ified 40 to 49 points	Silver 50 to 59 points	Gold 60 to 79 points	Platinum 80 to 110
	\$870,000				
	\$75,000				
		Fees			\$15,000
		Basic Commissioning			\$50,000
		Contingency			\$350,000
		Total Estimated Cost	Impact		\$1,360,000
		1 ocus Estanacea Cost	1111puct		¥1,500,000